Green infrastructure for better living

4th Project Meeting LOS_DAMA! Ljubljana

Proceedings

Monday 13 November 2017 – Wednesday 15th November 2017
### Agenda Monday 13 November 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Speakers / Facilitation</th>
<th>Venue / remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>Arrival and Lunch</td>
<td></td>
<td>UIRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>Welcome and introduction</td>
<td>Director UIRS,</td>
<td>Introducing the agenda and seeking agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.15</td>
<td>WP Management I</td>
<td>MUC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Accepting minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Next reporting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Roadmaps for outputs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.15</td>
<td>WP Communication</td>
<td>PIEM, PP’s who</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• communication products</td>
<td>participated in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• external conferences and meetings</td>
<td>meetings/conferences</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>Coffee break</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.45</td>
<td>WP T1 - Part I First outcomes and feedback on</td>
<td>GAM / UGA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAPs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.15</td>
<td>WP T1 - Part II local activities and focus on</td>
<td>PP’s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PIEM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:30</td>
<td>Wp T1 Joint Exploration Toolbox Brainstorming</td>
<td>VIE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:30</td>
<td>Official Dinner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Airm of the session

- Accepting minutes/steering group rules
- Last and next technical reporting
- Roadmaps and milestones for project outputs
- Next project meeting.

Aim of the session

Method

Discussion with flip-chart documentation

Summary

Result and discussion

- The minutes from the 3rd project meeting/Kick-Off in Munich were accepted. The following was concluded for dealing with the absences of partners: Content-related decisions will be represented as follows: GAM/VIE, SIR/EKUT, UIRS/TRENT, TUM/UGA, MUC/PIEM. For formal decisions that were known in advance, the project partner has to choose a representative, for formal decisions that weren’t known in advance, the absent partner will be involved in retrospect.
- For the next Progress report the following timeline was introduced and accepted by all project partners: 08.12.2017: MUC will send the Leap Partner report to PPs, 15.12.2017: GAM, SIR, UIRS, TUM, PIEM will give feedback on their WP, 22:12.2017: MUC will send adapted Lead Partner Report to PP’s, 26.01.2018: PP’s will submit their report.
- Roadmaps and milestones were introduced for all project outputs. The aim of the roadmaps is to describe what should be achieved and when. Outputs were introduced for O.T1.1 toolbox, O.T2.1 Metropolitan Alpine City Network, O.T2.2 Comprehensive Landscape Approaches, O.T2.3 Transnational Practitioners Network, O.T3.1 Policy Recommendations for EUSALP and European strategies, O.T3.2 Policy recommendations for urban and regional level. The PP’s agreed to give feedback on the roadmaps until the end of December. The adapted roadmaps will be uploaded on basecamp on the beginning of December.
- For the next project meeting from 4th until 6th April 2018 a rough agenda was introduced and information for hotel rooms were given.
WP C  Sarah Braccio (PIEM)

Aim of the session  Content and issues

The WP C session concerned the presentation to LOS_DAMA! partners the coordinated image of the project. In some cases we proceeded with the discussion on specific contents (eg Claim / slogan) in others, we talked on first evaluations leaving time for more reasoned feedback during following weeks. In collaboration with WP M, we was discussed about distribution of costs for the production / printing of communication products.

Aim of the session  Method

Presentation/Discussion

Summary  Result and discussion (5 key points)

- Proposals for Claim / Slogan of the project
  - Shared landscapes for better living
  - Green Infrastructure for better living
  - Enhancing our landscape for better living
  - Green Networks for better living
  - Peri-urban landscapes for better living

On 30 November, after an online vote, the slogan / claim was chosen: “Green Infrastructure for better living”

As a result of this choice, all communication products have been modified with the new slogan / slogan

- Other decisions: do not produce usb memories even if proposed as a possible gadget outside Application Form, replace the production of Pens with Highlighters.
- Other tools presented: Corporate Design Manual, Flyer, use of Logo, Poster (version 1 and 2), Folder for conference, Roll-up, Invitation template, Information Map for local use template Document Template, Agenda sheet, Signatory sheet, PPT for presentation, Newsletter, Set of Coaster, Notepad, Bag have been presented. All comments were collected and on December 18th we published a new version of the tools. This new version was satisfactory for all partners.

Participants  Target groups

LOS_DAMA! PP’s

Work Package  Activity and deliverable

A.C.1 Start-up activities
  D.C.1.2 Initial Flyer

A.C.3 Publications
  D.C.3.5 Newsletter with city-region portraits
  D.C.3.6 ASP LOS_DAMA! Project Poster

A.C.4 Promotional activities
  D.C.4.1 Set of Coaster in the form of the participating city-regions
  D.C.4.2 Bags for information material
  D.C.4.3 Pens
  D.C.4.4 Information maps for local use
WP T1
Guillaume Tournaire (GAM), Aurore Meyfroidt (UGA)

Aim of the session
Content and issues

After working during RP1 to set a framework to create a hub for presenting the 7 territories and the projects at local level, we agreed on the need to adapt this frame for a better understanding by the project partners. This work aims to gather useful and understandable information and to be fitted to be used by knowledge partners.

The objective of the session was to present and discuss the state of the art and the modifications / improvements we need to make to correctly address the issue. This session was dedicated to gather feedback regarding the PAP from the other PP. Thus, we presented identified challenges, we tried to classify them (challenges related to misunderstanding of this document, to the complexity to fulfill it, to lack of resource/time) and proposed an alternative way to organize it so as to better meet the needs of other PPs as efficiently as possible.

Aim of the session
Method

We organized the session around 2 sets of presentation, then discussion.

Summary
Result and discussion
- Better understanding of the aims of the PAPs
- Roadmap for PP
- New organization of the PAP
- Functions and further uses of this document for both PP and KP were explained
- Linkages pilot activities/PAP

Summary
Contribution to LOS_DAMA!
- Realising 7 PAPs

Summary
Unexpected experiences

One interesting thing with the PAPs designing process is the challenge to better connect the academics and practitioners spheres. Knowledge partners want very precise and detailed data which the practitioners sometimes cannot provide.

Unexpected problems related to planning categories (e.g; “post socialist” used in the academia)

Participants
Target groups

Project partners involved in LOS DAMA

Work Package
Outputs

WP 1: common framework for PAPs
WP 4: better understanding of PPs needs to adjust specific consultancy

Experience
Work distribution

GAM and UGA were strongly involved in preparing this session, with help of TUM
### WP T1
Christina Stockinger (VIE)

#### Airm of the session
Content and issues

The work focused on first ideas for an applicable format and structure of the toolbox.

#### Aim of the session
Method

The 30-minutes session included:
- Brainstorming in 3 working groups and a short presentation at the end of the session. Every working group focused on a specific issue (Users of the toolbox, Structure of the toolbox, Format of the toolbox).

#### Summary
Result and discussion

First draft of an rough structuring (split tools in content-related tools and process-related tools within a planning circle)
- Common decision to collect first tools to adapt the structure

#### Summary
Contribution to LOS_DAMA!

The session contributes to Activity A.T1.11 to find a way for systemizing and compiling tools and new ways of cooperation tested in local pilots.

#### Summary
Unexpected experiences

It wasn’t easy to find an appropriate structure and format without knowing the broad range of tools which will developed and used in local pilots by the partners

#### Participants
Target groups

The session was part of the work process to reach Output T 1.2. and focused on the members of the steering group.

#### Work Package
Outputs

The session was helpful for WP T 1 and contributes to Output T 1.2.

#### Work Package
Activity and deliverable

The session contributes to Activity A.T1.11 to find a way for systemizing and compiling tools and new ways of cooperation tested in local pilots. The session contributed to the toolbox to a minor degree

#### Experience
Work distribution

The session was set-up as a first brain storming, worked out in cooperation with the leadpartner. It’s foreseen to involve the Technical University of Munich in the work process to generate academic knowledge in future.
## Tuesday 14 November 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Speakers / Facilitation</th>
<th>Venue / remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08.30</td>
<td>Meeting at UIRS and transfer to pilot area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.30</td>
<td>WP T2</td>
<td>EKUT/ SIR/ MUC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• report on MoU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Job Shadowing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Transnational exchange</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>WP T1 Ljubljana Urban Region</td>
<td>UIRS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• State of activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Guided tour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Meeting local stakeholders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.30</td>
<td>Light supper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### WP T2

**Manuela Brückler (SIR), Corinna Jenal (EKUT)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airm of the session</th>
<th>Content and issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As part of WP T2 within the context of activity A.T2.3 general information on the up-coming job-shadowing were given and a first schedule for the activity guidelines for the officer’s exchange was introduce. Within Activity A.T2.2 a template and roadmap on mutual exchange was presented and agreed on the further course of the mutual exchange. Aims of the session: Information on Job-Shadowing and scheduling the roadmap for mutual exchange.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aim of the session</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>online-based instant survey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Result and discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-) partners will check availability and conditions of their home organisations for the job shadowing on the basis of the provided guidelines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-) the mutual exchange is self-organized once per period by the partners. Documentation will be based on mind maps.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Contribution to LOS_DAMA!</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The session was part of WP 2 in Preparation of A.T2.3 Job-Shadowing and documentation template within activity A.T2.2 mutual exchange.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Unexpected experiences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>There are different conditions and availabilities regarding job-shadowing among the partners which need to be clarified before attending the officers exchange programme.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Target groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The session was focused on the partners and observers of LOS_DAMA!.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Package</th>
<th>Outputs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The session was helpful to clarify the further roadmap regarding activity A.T2.3 Job-Shadowing and set-up the documentation template for activity A.T2.2.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Package</th>
<th>Activity and deliverable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The session was part of the activity A.T2.3 Job-Shadowing and activity A.T2.2 mutual exchange.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experience</th>
<th>Work distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SIR (Manuela Brückler) and EKUT (Corinna Jenal) provided a roadmap and documentation template for the activities A.T2.3 and A.T2.2;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Wednesday 15 November 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Speakers / Facilitation</th>
<th>Venue / remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07.45</td>
<td>Arrival and Coffee</td>
<td></td>
<td>UIRS, Trnovski pristan 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08.00</td>
<td>WP T2 “Binocular medium” idea collection</td>
<td>SIR/ EKUT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.00</td>
<td>WP T3 – Part I Fostering good governance workshop</td>
<td>UIRS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30</td>
<td>WP T3 – Part II • EUSALP cooperation • MoU actions</td>
<td>MUC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.30</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.30</td>
<td>WP T4 • Autumn school • Assessment framework</td>
<td>TUM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>Wrap-Up and Farewell</td>
<td>MUC/UIRS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WP T3 – part I  Sergeja Praper (UIRS)

Aim of the session  Content and issues

The session was dedicated to Activity A.T3.2 Fostering good governance for valorizing periurban landscapes and open space on local and regional level. The content of the activity was presented, including planned deliverables and output. An introduction to the concept of knowledge co-production, as well as to the rationale for using the approach, was made. In the following, a workshop on task definition and knowledge co-production in relation to Activity A.T3.2 was held.

Method

Presentation, work in groups, reporting in plenary

Summary  Result and discussion

There is a need to clarify the concepts of governance, good governance, criteria for good governance in the LOS_DAMA! partnership. Green infrastructure, landscape, open space: common understanding needed, potentially these are powerful concepts/ideas, but need to be transported beyond political and expert spheres. Recommendations for local and regional level: how to promote them? balance between general and place-based recommendations?

Contribution to LOS_DAMA!

By identifying challenges and opportunities connected with Activity A.T3.2 as well as seeking responses to them session/workshop participants contributed to task definition of A.T3.2

Target groups

LOS_DAMA! project partners and observers

WP T3, O.T3.2 Policy recommendations on periurban Alpine landscapes and green infrastructure for urban and regional level

WP T3, Activity and deliverable

A.T3.2 Fostering good governance for valorizing periurban landscapes and open space on local and regional level, D.T3.2.1 Proceedings of transnational workshops on good governance in relation to periurban landscape and open space development

Session preparation and implementation

UIRS team members worked on session preparation and implementation

Problems

The workshop programme could not be carried out entirely due to the limited time available and the fact that, in some of the groups, the initial steps took much longer than planned.
**WP T3 – part II**  
Sylvia Pintarits (MUC), Matthias Lampert (MUC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Aim of the session</strong></th>
<th><strong>Content and issues</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Method</strong></td>
<td>Ppt presentation, discussion with flip-chart documentation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Presentation of the state of the MoU and Alpine City Network, press coverage, and update EUSALP cooperation  
Start of a discussion of  
- how to utilize and implement the MoU at local and regional level,  
- how to influence higher levels of government to support our aims and  
- how to perpetuate the network of cities and metropolitan regions.
Summary

At the Minister Conference of 2 October 2017 5 parties (Munich, Vienna, Grenoble-Alpes, Trento and Città Metropolitana di Torino) signed the MoU as signatories. Milano, Graz and Salzburg already had announced that they would sign. Regione Piemonte and Provincia di Treno signed as supporters. Further signatories are to be gained (like Zurich, Ljubljana, Innsbruck, Bozen, …). The environmental ministers of Alpine States and Regions adopted a joint declaration: Alpine Green Infrastructure – Joining forces for nature, people and the economy [link](https://www.alpine-region.eu/sites/default/files/uploads/inline/956/eusalp_joint_declaration_green_infrastructure_final_en.pdf). Both documents relate and support each other.

MUC will develop a draft strategy paper. Its aim is to keep/strengthen political support for our peri-urban green infrastructure aims in the long run. It will demonstrate how our ambitions are already embedded in (strategic) activities of the cities / MAs. It should include, in addition, new activities as part of LOS_DAMA and beyond which help to fulfil the promises given by signing the MoU.

EUSALP Annual Conference 2017: LOS_DAMA will be politically represented by Grenoble-Alpes Métropol at the Youth Conference Panel discussion (Mr. Claus Habfast / GAM); several LD partners will be present (officers level) and LOS_DAMA will be presented at the EUSALP AG7 info desk.

Summary

The MoU is an important milestone and point of reference for the LOS_DAMA!-project and a starting point for the perpetuation of our transnational (but also regional and local) activities. The continuous cooperation with EUSALP AG7 helps to strengthen our aims and will hopefully lead to new (funding) opportunities for Green Infrastructure projects. At the same time, LD delivers a voice of cities and city regions to the EUSALP process.

Summary

During the session, UIRS signed as a supporter. PPs asked for information about the Minister’s joint declaration – the ppt presentation was adapted accordingly.

Participants

PPs, local staff, stakeholders (e.g. EMM WG landscape)

Work Package

WP2 (nominally) WP3 in effect.

Work Package

Activity and deliverable

next steps: Strategy paper, further signatories and supporters

further cooperation with EUSALP AG7; liking up to new presidency 2018 Land of Tyrol proposal: Future annual conferences: political representation through at least one LOS_DAMA network city/metropolitan authority plus working level meeting

Experience

PPs asked for information about the Minister’s joint declaration – the ppt presentation was adapted accordingly.
WP T4 – Part I  Martina van Lierop (TUM)

Aim of the session  Content and issues
Within the session, the initial ideas for the set-up and organization of the autumn school (Activity A.T4.3) has been presented and discussed regarding:
- Objectives
- Audience, legibility and application
- Draft schedule of application procedure and autumn school
- Possible topics
- Ideas regarding financial organization
- Role of the pilot partners

Aim of the session  Method
Powerpoint presentation with open discussion.

Summary  Result and discussion
The project partners have been informed about the ideas for the autumn school. From the discussion arose the following issues:
- The date for the autumn school needs to be established in reconciliation of all project partners.
- Eligibility of the participants in the autumn school needs more clarifications, especially in regard to from which institutions/organisations people can participate.
- Financial organisation needs to be further defined, as no means have been allocated in the budget for the autumn school.

Summary  Contribution to LOS_DAMA!
This session contributed to the further organisation of Activity A.T4.3 the Autumn School by informing the project partners and attuning the expectations regarding the autumn school.

Participants  Target groups
- Local public authorities (2)
- Regional public authorities (1)
- Higher education and research (3)

Work Package  Outputs
For WP T4 the session was helpful as it addressed Activity A.T4.3 the Autumn School

Work Package  Activity and deliverable
Activity A.T4.3 Autumn School: to a minor degree

Experience  Work distribution
The session was fully prepared by TUM (Martina van Lierop), yet a draft description of the Autumn School set-up and organisation had been discussed with EKUT and UGA, and had been uploaded on Basecamp for review by all project partners. Based on comments from this review, the draft description was further developed by Martina van Lierop for the meeting in Ljubljana.

Experience  Problems
There have been problems regarding the setting of the dates for the Autumn School due to different education plans in different countries. This has been solved by asking each project
partner for the most suitable dates for the Autumn School and based on that determine the date that fits most project partners.

WP T4 – Part II  Martina van Lierop (TUM)

Aim of the session  Content and issues

Within the session, the developed assessment framework is presented and discussed. This assessment framework is also used to develop the PAP, and shapes the further analysis of the pilot projects.

Aim of the session  Method

Powerpoint presentation with open discussion.

Summary  Result and discussion

From the discussion arose the following issues:

- The “planning challenges (objectives/aims)” needs further reviewing as they seem too broad and too abstract, while also doubt occurred whether these challenges are addressing the challenges in peri-urban areas.
- The distinction between GI planning (content-related) on the one hand, and collaborative and participatory planning (process-related) on the other hand has been received well.
- The toolbox needs to be further developed (also based on outcomes of a previous session by WP T1).
- More attention to the peculiarities of the Alpine space context need to be considered.

Summary  Contribution to LOS_DAMA!

This session gave the insight that:

- The planning challenges for urban green infrastructure planning might be different for peri-urban green infrastructure planning as this area might have particular characteristics.

Participants  Target groups

- Local public authorities (3)
- Regional public authorities (2)
- Higher education and research (3)

Work Package  Outputs

For WP T4 the session was helpful in regard to the assessment framework (Deliverable D.T4.1.2) and the analysis of the pilot projects (Deliverable D.T4.2.1).

For WP T1 the session indicated that the PAP analysis structure (Deliverable D.T1.1.1) was in general well-chosen and that the toolbox structure (O.T1.2) needs another direction then a structure based on strategies, methods, and tools.

Work Package  Activity and deliverable

Activity A.T4.1, Deliverable D.T4.1.2: to a large degree
Activity A.T4.2, Deliverable D.T4.2.1: to a minor degree
Activity A.T1.1 Developing pilot action plans, Deliverable D.T1.1.1: to a large degree
Activity A.T1.11, O.T1.2: to a minor degree

Experience  Work distribution

The session was fully prepared by TUM, yet the assessment framework has been developed in cooperation with EKUT, UGA, UIRS and MUC through exchange and discussion on preliminary assessment frameworks.